The 16th Amendment was not ratified! The Income Tax is therefore illegal

2001 Witter R

Document 100.0.8.0

20 of 38

...The 16th Amendment

was not ratified! The Income Tax is therefore illegal.

Note: As goes our nation in the push by the Socialist Council on Foreign CFR Relations, so goes the rest of the "free" world. The CFR through its enforcement arm, the Communist United Nations, will eventually eliminate all freedom in this world. Only you and I can stop it. Removing the funding provided directly by the US Taxpayer (all of our income taxes go out of the country) will be a huge blow to the Elitists who seek to be the world dictator thru the UN.

{Philander Knox, Sec of State, 1909-1913, the Taft Administration, proclaimed the 16th amendment to be ratified just a few days before he left office in 1913 {sound familiar?}, to make way for the Wilson administration, even though he knew it had not been legally ratified.

Philander Knox had for many years been the primary attorney for the richest men in America, including Carnegie, Rockefeller, Morgan, the Vanderbilts, the Mellons, and others. He had created for them the largest cartel in the world, then was appointed, at their request, as the Attorney General in the McKinley/Roosevelt administrations, where he refused to enforce the Sherman anti-trust laws against the cartel he had just created.

The income tax amendment was pushed through Congress in 1909 by Sen Nelson Aldrich, father-in-law of John D Rockefeller Jr. and grandfather and namesake of Nelson A Rockefeller, and would not have been ratified if Knox had not fraudulently proclaimed it so.

Example: Kentucky's legislature rejected the amendment, but Knox counted Kentucky as having approved it.

Example: Oklahoma's legislature changed the amendment's wording so that it meant just the opposite of what was submitted to the states by Congress, but Knox counted Oklahoma as approving the amendment. Minnesota did not submit any results or copy of their vote to Knox, yet he counted Minnesota as approving the amendment.}

The following is copied directly from the USA Today, 23 March 2001, Friday Edition. Page 8A and The Washington Times National Weekly Edition, March 26-April 1. It is sponsored by: We The People Foundation For Constitutional Education, Inc., http://givemeliberty.org, E-mail: acta@capital.net; Ph: 518-656-3578. Fax: 518-656-9724. This is an ongoing educational process appearing in this "newspaper" and the Washington Times Weekly National Edition. I will use the symbols { } to indicate notes, etc that I may insert. The Symbols () and [] are used in the original articles and will be shown here.

QUOTE:

Q: Do you have to file a federal tax return or pay an income tax?
A: These Experts say "NO"! [There are then the pictures of Bill Benson,
Author: "The Law that Never Was"; Larken Rose, Author: "Taxable Income";
John Kotmair, Founder and Fiduciary, Save-a-Patriot Fellowship and Liberty
Works Radio Network]..

Read the Facts and Judge the truth for yourself.

- >The original Constitution prohibits the Congress from laying a DIRECT (income) tax on the People unless it is in PROPORTION to the states (the last cnesus).
- >Our income tax conflicts with the original constitution: it is a DIRECT tax (the Supreme Court and numerous federal courts have declared it so) and it has not been laid in PROPORTION to the states.
- >The IRS {and that liberal biased authority on all things} (and the New York Times) say our income tax, although DIRECT and UN-APPORTIONED, is

yes / +100

1 of 10

constitutional because the 16th amendment did away with the original requirement that all DIRECT taxes must be in PROPORTION to the states. >However, Bill Benson's research shows, conclusively, that the 16th (income tax) amendment is a FRAUD.-it was fraudulently ratified.

>When Mr Benson took his charge of FRAUD to federal court, the court declared that it was a political question for Congress to decide, (Editor's note: since when if fraud a political question?)

>Even if the original constitution, or the constitution as amended by the 16th Amendment, authorized Congress to lay a DIRECT tax on all U. S. Citizens, without APPORTIONMENT, Congress has not done so-Congress has yet to pass a law that requires most Americans to file a tax return or to pay income tax.

>The Current income tax law does NOT apply to most Americans. (Read More Detailed Evidence at Our Website): http://www.givemeliberty.org
THE CONSTITUTIONAL ARGUMENT.

Bill Benson's research report, "The Law That never Was" is based on thousands of court-certified documents from state and federal archives. It proves conclusively the 16th (income tax) amendment to the Constitution in 1913 was fraudulently ratified.

His report says, in effect, that every individual in America can legally ignore requirements of the Internal Revenue Code because it is well settled in American Jurisprudence that any law which is {in} conflict with the Constitution is abrogated, i.e., it is VOID and can be IGNORED by the people.

Mr Benson, a former Criminal Investigator for the Illinois Department of Revenue, has NOT filed federal or state tax returns or paid any federal or state tax on his income since 1986. {I know of two people in Crystal River-one has not paid for over 5 years with no action by the IRS except for the first year, where he refused to pay an illegal tax, and theother person who took the IRS to Civil Court 14 years ago, and after spending \$13,000, representing himself in court, won against the IRS when they could not prove that he had violated any law, and there was no law that required him to pay a tax on income}.

THE STATUTORY ARGUMENT.

Un-refuted research by Larkin Rose and John Kotmair, say in effect, that EVEN IF the Constitution authorized and income tax, the current income tax laws do NOT APPLY AND DO NOT REQUIRE most U. S. Citizens to pay any taxes on income.

Mr Rose has not filed federal or state income tax returns or paid income taxes since 1996. Mr Kotmair has not filed <u>federal</u> or state income tax returns or paid income taxes since 1973,

Q: Does the constitution prohibit a non-apportioned direct tax on the People?

A: Yes! Here is what the original constitution says: "No capitation, or other direct tax shall be laid, unless in proportion to the census or enumeration herein before directed to be taken." See Article I, Section 9, Clause 4.

Q: Is the individual income tax a direct tax? A: Yes. According to the courts.

The U.S. Supreme Court as declared the tax to be a direct tax: "A proper regard for its [the 16th Amendment's] genesis, as well as its very clear language, requires also that this amendment shall not be extended by loose construction, so as to repeal or modify, except as applied to income, those provisions of the Constitution that require and apportionment according to population for direct taxes upon property, real and personal." See http://caselaw.findlaw.com/scripts/getcase.pl?navby=case&court=us&vol=252&page=189

"Eisnery Macomber, (1920), 252 U.S. 189,206, 40 S.ct.189. Editor's note: Wages and Salaries are property. See: Sims v U.S. (1959), 359 U.S. 108.

The Federal Appeals Courts have declared The Income Tax to Be a Direct Tax.

- 16th of de pat change anything

[&]quot;The sixteenth amendment merely eliminates the requirement that the direct

tax be apportioned among the states...The sixteenth amendment was enacted for the express purpose of providing for a direct income tax." See Parker v. Commissioner, 724 F.2d 469, 471 5th Cir. 1984).

The court held that an argument that the income tax was an excise tax was frivolous on its face. The court declared: "The power thus long predates the Sixteenth Amendment, which did no more than remove the apportionment requirement". See Coleman v. Commission, 791 f.2d 68, 70 (7th Cir. 1986). The cases cited by Francisco clearly establish that the income tax is a direct tax...", See United States v. Francisco, 614 f.2d 617, 619 (8th Cir. 1980).

"The sixteenth amendment remove any need to apportion income taxes among the states that otherwise have been required by Article I, Section 9, Clause 4." See United States v. Lawson, 670 F,2d 923, 927 (10th Cir, 1982). STATE COURTS HAVE DECLARED THE INCOME TAX TO BE A DIRECT TAX. Editor's note: For a full discussion see: "Long after Brushaber vs. U. P. Railroad and Stanton vs Baltic Mining, the courts have declared the income tax to be a direct tax." This article can be found on our web site. Special thanks to constitutional attorney Larry Becraft upon whose research our article is based.

Q. Is the individual income tax imposed "in proportion to the census."

A. NO! It is not "in proportion to the census."

The individual income tax is not tied to the population, state-by-state. Notwithstanding the constitutional prohibition found in Article I, Section 9, Clause 4, the income tax it is not apportioned among the states. Congress does not regire each state to tax their citizens to collect the money the federal government says it needs, {that is so that they can keep buying votes, spending money on bridges we don't need, donating 31 million to The Washington Post for PR favors, and all the crap they waste money on} over and above what it collects under the taxing authority granted to it under Article I, Section 8, of the Constitution (indirect taxes, excise. tariffs, duties and imposts).

Q. How can there be a direct, un-apportioned individual income tax in America if the original constitution prohibits it?

A. The government relies upon the validity of the 16th Amendment to the Constitution as its authority to impose the current, direct, un-apportioned individual income tax.

The 16th Amendment reads: "The congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, without apportionment among the several states, and without regard to any census enumeration." The IRS says it is the 16th Amendment that gives it the authority to impose the income tax directly on the working people of America. The IRS is on record as saying; "The sixteenth amendment to the Constitution states that citizens are required to file tax returns and pay taxes." IRS Publication 1918 (July 96, Cat No 22524B. No less an authority than the New York Times {Ha, Ha, Ha, yeah sure} says the 16th Amendment is the government's authority to impose tax directly on the working people of America. The New York Times says: "Congress's right to levy taxes on the income of individuals and corporations was contested throughout the 19th century, but that authority was written into the Constitution with the passage of the 16th Amendment in 1913." The New York Times Almanac; 2001, The World's Most Comprehensive and Authoritative Almanac {snicker, snicker, yawn} page 161.

While refusing to address the question of its fraudulent adoption, the federal courts have said the 16th Amendment is the government's authority to impose the income tax directly on the working people of America. For instance Judge Paul G Hatfield, (United States District Court For the District of Montana) wrote: "The income tax laws of the United States of America are constitutional, having been validly enacted under the authority of the Sixteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. "See United States of America vs Jerome David Pederson, (1985) Case No. CR-84-57-GF. In the United States v. Lawson the court declared: "The Sixteenth Amendment removed any need to apportion income taxes among the states that otherwise would have been required by Article I, Section 9, Clause 4." See United

-Boshbrade Wed nothing thousands

States vs Lawson, 670 F.2d 923 927 (10th Cir. 1982).

However, Bill Benson's research report documents that the 16th Amendment was not ratified by the states and is a fraud. Bill Benson's findings, published in "The Law That Never Was", make a compelling case that the 16th Amendment (the income tax amendment) was not legally ratified and that Secretary of State Philander Knox was not merely in error, but committed fraud when he declared it ratified in February 1913. For a discussion of Philander Knox and his motives for fraudulently declaring the 16th Amendment ratified, see: "Who was Philander Knox? Is it credible that he could have committed fraud?" Which can be found on our web site. {He did commit fraud because he did it to curry favor with the Morgans, Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Mellons, {the cartel that he created} who subsequently hired him}...

PROOF THAT STATES DID NOT RATIFY THE 16TH AMENDMENT. In 1909, Congress passed the proposed 16th Amendment. It was sent to the states for ratification by the state legislatures. There were 48 states. Three-fourths, or 36, of them were required to give their approval in order for it to be ratified.

Knox declared the 16th amendment ratified on February 25, 1913, just a few days before leaving office. He counted 38 states as having approved it.

>Kentucky: The Kentucky legislature rejected the amendment 33-9, but Knox counted it as having passed 22-9.

>Oklahoma: Oklahoma voted for the amendment but changed the wording to mean the opposite of the proposed amendment-even though a memo from chief legal counsel Reuben Clark warned that states were not allowed to change the proposed amendment.

>Tennessee: Tennessee violated its own state constitution when they failed to delay the amendment vote until a new state legislature was elected. The obvious reason for this state constitutional clause was to ensure that the People of Tennessee would have direct political input on the federal constitutional amendment process. Tennessee also violated their own state constitution by failing to read the resolution on three different days as prescribed by Article II, Section 28.

THESE STATE CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS MAKE THEIR APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT NULL AND VOID.

>Texas and Louisiana: Texas and Louisiana violated provisions in their states constitutions prohibiting the legislatures from empowering the federal government with any additional taxing authority.

NOW THE NUMBER IS DOWN TO 33.

Twelve other states violated provisions in their State Constitutions, bringing the number down to 21. Further evidence in Mr Benson's research report make the list dwindle down much more, but with the number to 21, fifteen fewer than required, this is a suitable place to rest for the purposes of this article. For a more detailed state-by-state account, to: "How some States Failed to Ratify The Sixteenth Amendment", which is located on our web site. Special thanks to Bill Benson, upon whose research our article is based.

THE CONGRESS AND THE COURTS HAVE PLAYED "GOVERNMENTAL PING-PONG WITH MR BENSON'S CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE TO THE 16TH AMENDMENT.

In 1985, Mr Benson asked a federal court to declare the 16th amendment to be null and void because it was fraudulently ratified. The court, instead ruled the question to be a political question for the Congress to decide, {well we know how our bloodsucking tax and spend congress looks at losing any tax money to spend on those damned giveaways of our money and mine. CLMsr} it said: "[Defendant] Stahl's claim that ratification of the 16th Amendment was fraudulently certified constitutes a political question becaue we could not undertake independent resolution of this issue without expressing lack of respect due coordinate branches of Government..."{my question is how in hell can anyone respect our branches of government and those leeches we have elected to office if they continue to allow this to go one? CLMsr.} See U.S. v. Stahl (197\86), 792 F2nd 1438. Mr Benson then pesonally delivered a copy of his voluminous research report to each and

4 of 10

every member of Congress. In response, the Congressional Research Service immediately issued a report, which declared that the CRS was not going to address the factual allegations of Mr Benson's report and that the question of the fraudulent adoption of the 16th Amendment was a question for the Courts. (Now we see the ping-pong buck passing exercise the government agencies are so very adept at. CLMsr.) For a copy of the CRS report, which was written by a CRS attorney (Ripy), go to or Web Site.

Mr Benson has concluded that the 16th Amendment can be ignored, that Congress's power to lay a DIRECT (income) tay on the People is limited by

Mr Benson has concluded that the 16th Amendment can be ignored, that Congress's power to lay a DIRECT (income) tax on the People is, limited by the original Constitution (Article I, Section 9, Clause 4), and that because the income tax has not been laid in proportion to the states he has a fundamental right to ignore the income tax laws. He has not filed an income tax return or paid income tax since 1986.

REGARDLESS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL INFIRMITIES OF THE CURRENT INCOME TAX LAW, THE TAX LAW AS WRITTEN DOES NOT APPLY TO MOST AMERICANS LIVING AND WORKING IN THE UNITED STATES. Some evidence:

- 1.. Sections 1461 and 7701 of the Internal Revenue Code (the "IRC") establish that the only person made liable to withhold and pay the income tax is a withholding agent, who is any person required to withhold under sections 1441-1443, which pertain only to nonresident aliens and foreign entities.
- 2...Look in the IRC index under "income tax" to cross-reference with "citizen" or "citizenship". There are only two entries: one for citizens departing the U.S. and the other for citizens living abroad. But if one cross-references "income tax" with "aliens", there are several PAGES of entries, most of them under subcategory "nonresident alien" where we find all the familiar terms, such as "deductions", "exemptions," "gross income", and "withholding". Careless indexing? Ask your tax professional.
- 3..Form 1040 has never been authorized by the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") to be used under Section 1 of the IRC. The only form ever approved for use under Section 1 is Form 2555, titled "Foreign Earned Income."
- 4.. A statement of citizenship, in duplicate, from a worker has always served to relieve an employer of duty to withhold income taxes from ANY worker's pay, under Section 1.1441-5, and Publication # 515 (wording was altered in 1999 to disguise the provision).
- 5. The Internal Revenue Manual instructs the employees of the IRS that the Criminal Investigation Division is under the direction of the International Branch of the IRS and is only authorized to enforce criminal statutes applicable to taxes for U. S. Citizens residing in foreign countries and nonresident aliens required to file federal income tax.
- 6. IRS revenue officers are authorized by law to conduct only civil enforcement under subtitle E (alcohol, tobacco, and firearms), not under subtitle A (income taxes). Among assertions by former IRS agents is that virtually everything a revenue officer does is outside the Law.
- 7..Code section 6020(b), invoked by the IRS when it assesses income tax on individuals who have not filed a 1040, does not authorize them to assess income tax on individuals. Delegation Orders from the Commission to IRS employees authorizing them to execute returns for persons required to file, but who didn't, do not include Forms 1040 or 2555 on the list of authorized returns.
- 8..Regulations implementing the statutes governing tax liens and levies are under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms {another damned Gestapo agency. CLMsr.}. and not the IRS.
- 9. Social Security officials confirmed that there is no law that requires a citizen to get a social security number, for an employer to get an employer identification number, or for either of them to participate in social security and pay employment taxes under subtitle C, unless they want to participate in the Social Security program. No law requires an employer to insist on getting a W-4 from a worker, nor for a worker to fill it out. Without a social security number, a worker can have no taxable income, according to the Social Security Administration. On 2/20/01, in an EEOC

Varent!

case in the Norfolk area, a worker prevailed in a Title VII Civil Rights action after being fired for not providing a social security number, when the employer only needed to notify the IRS that it had requested one. You can see a copy of the letter from the SSA on our web site. 10.IRC Section 3402 imposes withholding only upon "wages" as defined exclusively at Sections 3401(a) and 3401(a)(8)(A), which reveals the remuneration paid to U.S. Citizens living and working in the U.S. is excepted from the definition of "wages" that are subject to withholding under Section 3402. The only way it can be "wages" is under IRC Section 911, i.e., remuneration in U. S. possessions. 11.IRC Section 3403 indemnifies and protects employers from liability for the withheld remuneration only if it is "wages" under Section 3401(a). 12. Senator {Daniel K} Inoye {of Hawaii}, in a letter responding to an inquiry to a constituent who was a tax consultant, stated, "Based on research performed by the Congressional Research Service, {Remember Ms Karen Thurman, Democrat Rep, 5th Dist, Florida, this is the same CRS that just this year-2001, told you just the opposite which you relayed to me by letter?}, there is no provision which specifically and unequivocally requires an individual to pay income taxes." {Ms Thurman,} You can see a copy of this letter and comments on our website. {Now this is very interesting, because when I queried my state representative, a Democrat, Ms Karen Thurman, she sent back a response that said that the Congressional Research Services says it is a valid tax and I sure had better pay it. It looks as if the CRS gives the answer that is expected of them. CLMsr} 13. The definition of "gross income," found in IRC 61 and 26 CFR1.61-1(a) defines gross income as "all income from whatever source derived, unless excluded by law." IRC 61 defines gross income as "all income from whatever source derived, including (but not limited to) the following items: (1) Compensation for services, including fees, commissions, fringe benefits, and similar items: (2) Gross income derived from business: (3) Gains derived from dealings in property; (4) Interest; (5) Rents; (6) Royalties; (7) Dividends; (8) Alimony;...(15) Income from an interest in an estate or trust. Tax researchers have discovered that "items" of income in IRC 61 are not the same as "sources" of income. CFR 1.861-1 says: "Section 861 et seq...and the regulations thereunder, determine the sources of income for purposes of the income." The specific sources listed in CFR 1.861-8(f)(1). They are: (1) over-all limitation to foreign tax credit; (2) international and foreign sales corporations; (3) non-resident alien individuals and foreign corporations engaged in trade or business within the U.S.; (4) foreign base company income; and (5) a list of fifteen other operative section-all foreign. All this lead to the conclusion that the term "gross income" does not apply to the income of most citizens but to the incomes of nonresident aliens and U.S. citizens earning money abroad, a conclusion no longer very surprising after considering all the other evidence presented above. Editor's note: This "861 Sources" argument is a very potent but complex legal argument. For a FREE detailed discussion of this argument, see our website article, "GROSS INCOME AND SECTIONS 861: TAX RESEARCHERS PERSPECTIVE AND EXPLANATION IN THE CONTEXT OF OTHER STATUTES AND REGULATIONS." Special thanks to Larken Rose and John Kotmair. WHAT IF?

What if individuals were to stop filing and paying the income tax and employers were to stop withholding the tax from the paychecks fo their employees and there was not federal tax to take the place of the individual income tax? Would we be able to "fix the bridges and maintain a strong national defense?" Would we be able to avoid "chaos"? Would we be a stronger nation? Is a mechanism in place that would allow a peaceful and smooth transition from a society with an individual income tax to a society without one? {most people don't know that all of the income taxes they pay goes to the bankers that make up the FED, all members of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Trilateral Commission. None of it goes to pay for the "services" we get from the federal govt. CLMsr}

THE ANSWER TO EACH AND EVERY ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS IS A RESOUNDING "YES".

. INT TIPE!

\$10, all persons owe \$10 not \$10,000 or \$100,000.

The limitations forbidding direct taxation of individuals are found first in Article 1, Section 2. Clause 3, which states: "Representatives and direct Taxes shall be apportioned among the several States which may be included within this Union, according to their respective Numbers..." and again in Article 1, Section 9, Clause 4, which states: "No Capitation, or other direct Tax shall be laid, unless in Proportion to the Census or Enumeration here in before directed to be taken." These basic sections of the Constitution have never been repealed or amended. The Constitution still forbids direct taxation of individuals and property.

In the past, America prospered and became the greatest and richest country in the world when individuals paid no income tax and governments revenues were raised by constitutionally authorized taxes on certain goods and services and on corporations. But now, money is taken from the productive sector of society by the income tax to support the non-productive sector, foreign aid, give-aways and a bloated, needless bureaucracy. The income tax paid by citizens sharply reduces their earnings, thus they buy less, causing business to decline, leading to unemployment and depression which lowers the standard of living for all Americans. The income tax has created havoc in America's economy, in addition to the loss of liberty and the harassment of our people by the IRS's oppressive collection tactics. The collection of the income tax enforced by fear and intimidation, it is as un-American as the origin of the income tax itself.

A graduated income tax is the second plank of Karl Marx Communist Manifesto. Deceiving citizens into voluntarily subjecting themselves to a tax they do not owe is FRAUD. And when individuals who do voluntarily subject themselves to the income (excise) tax by filing returns, have assessments of tax laid on them directly by the IRS, it is a blatant violation of the constitutional limitation forbidding the direct taxation of individuals. If the IRS then confiscates the individuals wages or property by levy and seizure to settle the unconstitutionally laid tax claims, the action is pure theft under color of law.

The U.S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land. It was written to create a government of limited powers for the primary purpose of securing citizens rights to life, liberty and property. The Declaration of Independence states that it is the duty of citizens to oppose and resist abuses of their rights. These violations of citizens rights can be stopped if enough people become informed of these facts.

The Constitution is a precious document of our heritage of freedom. Its guarantees of liberty are only as effective as the will of the people to enforce them.

Your labor is your property. Knowledge will give you the power to protect and enjoy all the fruits of your labors.

Make no mistake what the founding fathers would do today if they were serving in Congress. Impeachment proceedings would begin this very day and continue night and day. Those not impeached would be hanged for treason. Wake Up America!!!!!!!!!!! Today, the Congress and the President, and the entire judicial system is a disgrace to our country.

We have a Constitution and our Bill of Rights (the first 10 amendments)

Doco Cho NO DOC N'ANG 3) Atrig Co 4) Doco I.

flight

8 of 10

3/5/2016 5:17 PM

Constitutional Limitations on Taxing Power.

In order to understand why paying income tax and filing tax forms are voluntary actions for individuals, it is essential to understand the limitations on federal taxation embodied in the United States Constitution. The statesmen who wrote the Constitution were fully aware of the dangers to liberty in allowing a central government to impose taxes directly upon individuals or upon property.

The framers of the Constitution included not one, but two limitations in the Constitution that forbid the federal government to impose any direct taxes upon individuals or upon property. All direct taxes are required to be "apportioned," which means that they must be laid upon the state governments in proportion to each states population. Another words, if one person owes

that makes us free. Right? Then visit:

http://www.trimonline.org http://www.getusout.org

http://www.thenewamerican.com http://www.givemeliberty.org

http://www.jbs.org http://www.getawarrant.com

Then take a look at these sites: http://www.dixierising.com

http://www.dixienet.org http://www.palmetto.org

http://www.southerncaucus.org http://www.spofga.org

http://www.southern-style.com http://www.nca.mybravenet.com

{ Only Notes 1 & 2 are duplicates of previous messages text. All text preceding these notes is new. }

NOTE # 1: This is the TWENTIETH doc in a string of about 22 regarding the Income Tax, How it was illegally forced upon us, the collusion of various nation banks, including The Bank of England, the Banks of Europe, the Banks of the USA that make up the Non-Government organization known as the Fed and the bankers themselves dedicated to making this a Socialist Nation. As David Rockefeller reportedly said in 1973 when he and others formed the Trilateral Commission, "We will have this a Socialist Nation by the end of the year 2000." Well, with the help of our past Communist President, he damned well nearly did it. If Comrade Gore had been elected, it would be now! The last doc in this series is a plan that was presented to President Bush when he visited Florida recently. It was put directly into his hands. He has not acted upon it. We The People must initiate a campaign of letters, faxes, e-mails, and phone calls to him and others in our otherwise corrupt government letting them know of our displeasure. For God and Country, Chet.

NOTE # 2: [Should you wish to be removed from my mailing list, please send a message with the word remove in the subject line. If you got this from a mail list, such as xxxxxx@xxxxxgroups.com or something like that, then it is up to the moderator or owner of the list to remove my access based upon complaints of my material, abuse, or removal of your access if you request it.] Should you wish a copy of a numbered message (this is the 20th one) that you may have missed, please e-mail me off net for a copy of it and I will be very happy to provide it. Chet.

You may forward this to every member of Congress by using a Mail Blaster application available on the Internet as follows:

Step 1. Access your web browser. Step 2. Type in the search block: http://www.mailblasterdot.com

Step 3. Click on Send Batch E-Mail which is on the left end of the screen.

Step 4. Type in your E-mail Address. Step 5. Click on Subject: Type in the subject of your document.

Step 6. Click on Message: Now here you can type in your message or you can paste a previously copied file here. You can also edit your message after you finish with the message and before sending it.

Step 7. Then click on select a file. Here you may click on:

demhouse.txt (Socialist Democrat House Members) or,

democsen.txt (Socialist Democrats Senate Members) or,

newsorg.txt (Many of the "anchor" news folks have their email address here for you to use) or,

rephouse.txt (Republican House of Representatives Members) or, repubsen.txt (Republican Senate Members) or, senators.txt (All Senators).

Step 8. After selecting the group to receive your message then click on send batch. It will go to everyone listed in the batch.

Remember: Nothing beats a letter AND a phone call.

Α

Chester L McWhorter Sr, c/o 504 N. Brighton Rd, Lecanto, Occupied Florida, C.S.A. 34461. Ph: 352-344-9073. Fax: Same. E-mail: robertthebruce@naturecoast.net

20 of 38.... 100.0.8.0 End.

"Beware the leader who bangs the drums of war in order to whip the citizenry into a patriotic fervor, for patriotism is indeed a double-edged sword. It both emboldens the blood, just it narrows the mind. And when the drums of war have reached a fever pitch and the blood boils with hate and the mind has closed, the leader will have no need in seizing the rights of the citizenry. Rather, the citizenry, infused with fear and blinded by partiotism, will offer up all of their rights unto the leader and gladly so. How do I know? For this is what I have done. And I am Caesar."--Julius Caesar.

Part 21

< Back The Bankruptcy of the United States



AMERICAN PATRIOT FRIENDS NETWORK APFN

"...a network of net workers..."

APFN Contents Page: Click Here

Message Board

APFN Home Page

Hit Counter